

### CHAPTER 10

Determining How Costs Behave

### **COST FUNCTIONS**

A cost function is a mathematical representation of how a cost changes with changes in the level of an activity relating to that cost.

### **COST TERMINOLOGY**

Variable costs—costs that change in total in relation to some chosen activity or output

Fixed costs—costs that do not change in total in relation to some chosen activity or output

Mixed costs—costs that have both fixed and variable components; also called semivariable costs

## **COST FUNCTION ASSUMPTIONS**

- 1. Variations in the level of a single activity (the cost driver) explain the variations in the related total costs.
- 2. Cost behavior is approximated by a linear cost function within the relevant range.
  - Graphically, the total cost versus the level of a single activity related to that cost is a straight line within the relevant range.

# BRIDGING ACCOUNTING AND STATISTICAL TERMINOLOGY

Accounting	Statistics
Variable Cost	Slope
Fixed Cost	Intercept
Mixed Cost	Linear Cost Function



© 2012 Pearson Prentice Hall. All rights reserved.

### LINEAR COST FUNCTIONS ILLUSTRATED



# CRITERIA FOR CLASSIFYING VARIABLE AND FIXED COMPONENTS OF A COST

- 1. Choice of cost object—different objects may result in different classification of the same cost
- 2. Time horizon—the longer the period, the more likely the cost will be variable
- 3. Relevant range—behavior is predictable only within this band of activity

## THE RELEVANT RANGE ILLUSTRATED





Snowboards Produced (X)

# CAUSE AND EFFECT AS IT RELATES TO COST DRIVERS

The most important issue in estimating a cost function is determining whether a cause-and-effect relationship exists between the level of an activity and the costs related to that level of activity.

# CAUSE AND EFFECT AS IT RELATES TO COST DRIVERS

A cause-and-effect relationship might arise as a result of:

- A physical relationship between the level of activity and costs
- A contractual agreement
- Knowledge of operations

Note: A high correlation (connection) between activities and costs does not necessarily mean causality.

## **COST ESTIMATION METHODS**

- 1. Industrial engineering method
- 2. Conference method
- 3. Account analysis method
- 4. Quantitative analysis methods
  - 1. High-low method
  - 2. Regression analysis

### INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING METHOD

Estimates cost functions by analyzing the relationship between inputs and outputs in physical terms

Includes time-and-motion studies

Very thorough and detailed, but also costly and time-consuming

Also called the work-measurement method

### **CONFERENCE METHOD**

Estimates cost functions on the basis of analysis and opinions about costs and their drivers gathered from various departments of a company

Pools expert knowledge

Reliance on opinions still makes this method subjective

### ACCOUNT ANALYSIS METHOD

Estimates cost functions by classifying various cost accounts as variable, fixed, or mixed with respect to the identified level of activity

Is reasonably accurate, cost-effective, and easy to use, but is subjective

### QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS

Uses a formal mathematical method to fit cost functions to past data observations

Advantage: results are objective

# STEPS IN ESTIMATING A COST FUNCTION USING QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS

- 1. Choose the dependent variable (the cost to be predicted).
- 2. Identify the independent variable or cost driver.
- 3. Collect data on the dependent variable and the cost driver.
- 4. Plot the data.
- 5. Estimate the cost function using the high-low method or regression analysis.
- 6. Evaluate the cost driver of the estimated cost function.

### SAMPLE COST—ACTIVITY PLOT



<sup>© 2012</sup> Pearson Prentice Hall. All rights reserved.

## HIGH-LOW METHOD

Simplest method of quantitative analysis

Uses only the highest and lowest observed values

### **HIGH-LOW METHOD PLOT**



### STEPS IN THE HIGH-LOW METHOD

1. Calculate variable cost per unit of activity.

	Variable		ſ	Cost associated with		Cost associated with	٦	
	Cost per	=	١	highest activity level		lowest activity level	<u>}</u>	
Unit of Activity				Highest activity level	-	Lowest activity level		

### STEPS IN THE HIGH-LOW METHOD

#### 2. Calculate total fixed costs.

Total Cost from either the highest or lowest activity level

- (Variable Cost per unit of activity X Activity associated with above total cost)

**Fixed Costs** 

3. Summarize by writing a linear equation.

Y = Fixed Costs + (Variable cost per unit of Activity \* Activity)

Y = FC + (VCu \* X)

### **REGRESSION ANALYSIS**

Regression analysis is a statistical method that measures the average amount of change in the dependent variable associated with a unit change in one or more independent variables.

Is more accurate than the high-low method because the regression equation estimates costs using information from all observations; the high-low method uses only two observations.

### TYPES OF REGRESSION

Simple—estimates the relationship between the dependent variable and one independent variable

Multiple—estimates the relationship between the dependent variable and two or more independent variables

### SAMPLE REGRESSION MODEL PLOT



© 2012 Pearson Prentice Hall. All rights reserved.

### **ALTERNATIVE REGRESSION MODEL PLOT**



© 2012 Pearson Prentice Hall. All rights reserved.

### TERMINOLOGY

Goodness of fit—indicates the strength of the relationship between the cost driver and costs

Residual term—measures the distance between actual cost and estimated cost for each observation

### CRITERIA FOR EVALUATING ALTERNATIVE COST DRIVERS

- 1. Economic plausibility
- 2. Goodness of fit
- 3. Significance of the independent variable

### NONLINEAR COST FUNCTIONS

- 1. Economies of scale
- 2. Quantity discounts
- 3. Step cost functions—resources increase in "lotsizes", not individual units
- 4. Learning curves—labor hours consumed decrease as workers learn their jobs and become better at them
- 5. Experience curve —broader application of learning curve that includes downstream activities including marketing and distribution

# NONLINEAR COST FUNCTIONS ILLUSTRATED



### TYPES OF LEARNING CURVES

Cumulative average-time learning model cumulative average time per unit declines by a constant percentage each time the cumulative quantity of units produced doubles

Incremental unit-time learning model—incremental time needed to produce the last unit declines by a constant percentage each time the cumulative quantity of units produced doubles

## SAMPLE CUMULATIVE AVERAGE-TIME MODEL

	D	C	0	E	E	6	100			
A		Time Learning	Madal for Davburn (	E	F	G	п	1		
Cumu	lative Average	-Time Learning	model for Rayburn o	Sorporation		-		-		
		80% Learning	Curve							
Cumulative	Cum	ulative	Cumulative	Individual Uni	it					
Number	Averag	ge Time	Total Time:	Time for X th	0.1					
of Units (X)	per Unit (y)*	Labor Hours	Labor-Hours	Unit: Labor Hou	urs					
			D = Col A x Col B							
						E13 = D13	- D12			
1	100.00		100.00	100.00		= 210.63 -	160.00			
2	80.00	=(100x0.8)	160.00	60.00						
3	70.21		210.63	50.63						
4	64.00	=(80x0.8)	256.00	45.37	*The mathematical relationship underlying the cumulative average- learning model is: y=aX <sup>th</sup>					
5	59.56		297.82	41.82						
6	56.17		337.01	39.19						
7	53,45	$\land$	374.14	37.13	where $y = Cun$ X = Cun	nulative average ti nulative number of	me (labor-hours) p funits produced	er unit		
8	51.20	=(64x0.8)	409.60	35.46	a = Tim	e (labor-hours) red	quired to produce th	ac first unit		
9	49.29		443.65	34.05	b = Factor used to calculate cumulative average time to produce units					
10	47.65		476.51	32.86	The value of b	is calculated as				
11	46.21		508.32	31.81	In (learni	ng-curve % in dec	imal form)			
12	44.93		539.22	30.89	For an 80% lea	$m_2$ ming curve $b = b$	$0.8/\ln 2 = -0.2231$	/0.6931 = -0.3219		
13	43.79	Δ	569.29	30.07	For an 80% learning curve, $b = \ln 0.8/\ln 2 = -0.2231/0.6931 = -0.3219$ when $X = 3$ , $a = 100$ , $b = -0.3219$ , $y = 100 \times 3^{-0.3219} = 70.21$ labor hours					
14	42.76		598.63	29.34						
15	41.82		627.30	28.67	Numbers in tab	le may not be exac	ct because of round	ling.		
16	40.96	=(51.2x0.8)	655.36	28.06				A		
	Cumu Cumulative Number of Units (X) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16	Cumulative Average           Cumulative         Cumu           Number         Average           of Units (X)         per Unit (y)*           1         100.00           2         80.00           3         70.21           4         64.00           5         59.56           6         56.17           7         53.45           8         51.20           9         49.29           10         47.65           11         46.21           12         44.93           13         43.79           14         42.76           15         41.82           16         40.96	Cumulative Average-Time Learning           80% Learning           Cumulative           Number         Average Time           of Units (X)         per Unit (y)*: Labor Hours           1         100.00           2         80.00           3         70.21           4         64.00           5         59.56           6         56.17           7         53.45           8         51.20           9         49.29           10         47.65           11         46.21           12         44.93           13         43.79           14         42.76           15         41.82           16         40.96	Cumulative Average-Time Learning Model for Rayburn (           80% Learning Curve           Cumulative         Cumulative           Number         Average Time         Total Time:           of Units (X)         per Unit (y)*: Labor Hours         Labor-Hours           D = Col A x Col B         D = Col A x Col B           1         100.00         100.00           2         80.00         =(100x0.8)         160.00           3         70.21         210.63           4         64.00         =(80x0.8)         256.00           5         59.56         297.82           6         56.17         337.01           7         53.45         374.14           8         51.20         =(64x0.8)         409.60           9         49.29         443.65           10         47.65         476.51           11         46.21         508.32           12         44.93         539.22           13         43.79         569.29           14         42.76         598.63           15         41.82         627.30           16         40.96         =(51.2x0.8)         655.36	Cumulative Average-Time Learning Model for Rayburn Corporation           80% Learning Curve           Cumulative         Cumulative         Individual Unit           Number         Average Time         Total Time:         Time for X th           of Units (X)         per Unit (y)*: Labor Hours         Labor-Hours         Unit: Labor Hours           1         100.00         100.00         100.00           2         80.00         =(100x0.8)         160.00         60.00           3         70.21         210.63         50.63         200.00           4         64.00         =(80x0.8)         256.00         45.37           5         59.56         297.82         41.82           6         56.17         337.01         39.19           7         53.45         374.14         37.13           8         51.20         =(64x0.8)         409.60         35.46           9         49.29         443.65         34.05         34.05           10         47.65         476.51         32.86         31.81           12         44.93         539.22         30.07           13         43.79         569.29         30.07           14 <t< td=""><td>Cumulative Average-Time Learning Model for Rayburn Corporation           80% Learning Curve         Individual Unit           Cumulative         Cumulative         Individual Unit           Number         Average Time         Total Time:         Time for X th           of Units (X)         per Unit (y)*: Labor Hours         Labor-Hours         Unit: Labor Hours           1         100.00         100.00         100.00           2         80.00         =(100x0.8)         160.00         60.00           2         80.00         =(100x0.8)         256.00         45.37         *The mathematlearning model           5         59.56         297.82         41.82         *The mathematlearning model           7         53.45         374.14         37.13         *The mathematlearning model           9         49.29         443.65         34.05         The value of b           11         46.21         508.32         31.81         For an 80% learning bero of b           11         46.21         508.32         31.81         In (learning bero of b           11         46.21         508.32         31.81         In (learning bero of b           11         46.21         508.32         31.81         In (lear</td><td>Cumulative Average Time Learning Model for Rayburn Corporation           80% Learning Curve           Cumulative         Cumulative         Individual Unit           Number         Average Time         Total Time:         Time for X th           of Units (X)         per Unit (y)*: Labor Hours         Labor-Hours         Unit: Labor Hours           D = Col A x Col B         E13 = D13           1         100.00         100.00         100.00           3         70.21         210.63         50.63           2         80.00         =(100x0.8)         160.00         60.00           3         70.21         210.63         50.63         emathematical relationship ularing model is:           5         59.56         297.82         41.82         emathematical relationship ularing model is:           6         56.17         337.01         39.19         where y = Cumulative average till X = Cumulati</td><td>Cumulative Average-Time Learning Model for Rayburn Corporation           80% Learning Curve         80% Learning Curve           Cumulative         Cumulative         Cumulative         Individual Unit           Number         Average Time         Total Time:         Time for Xth           of Units (X)         per Unit (y)*: Labor Hours         Labor-Hours         Unit: Labor Hours           D = Col A x Col B         E13 = D13 - D12 = 210.63 - 160.00           2         80.00         =(100x0.8)         160.00         60.00           2         80.00         =(100x0.8)         256.00         45.37           4         64.00         =(80x0.8)         256.00         45.37           5         59.56         297.82         41.82           6         56.17         337.01         39.19           7         53.45         374.14         37.13           8         51.20         =(64x0.8)         409.60         35.46           9         49.29         443.65         34.05         10           11         46.21         508.32         31.81         In (learning-curve % in decimal form)           12         44.93         539.22         30.89         In 2           1</td></t<>	Cumulative Average-Time Learning Model for Rayburn Corporation           80% Learning Curve         Individual Unit           Cumulative         Cumulative         Individual Unit           Number         Average Time         Total Time:         Time for X th           of Units (X)         per Unit (y)*: Labor Hours         Labor-Hours         Unit: Labor Hours           1         100.00         100.00         100.00           2         80.00         =(100x0.8)         160.00         60.00           2         80.00         =(100x0.8)         256.00         45.37         *The mathematlearning model           5         59.56         297.82         41.82         *The mathematlearning model           7         53.45         374.14         37.13         *The mathematlearning model           9         49.29         443.65         34.05         The value of b           11         46.21         508.32         31.81         For an 80% learning bero of b           11         46.21         508.32         31.81         In (learning bero of b           11         46.21         508.32         31.81         In (learning bero of b           11         46.21         508.32         31.81         In (lear	Cumulative Average Time Learning Model for Rayburn Corporation           80% Learning Curve           Cumulative         Cumulative         Individual Unit           Number         Average Time         Total Time:         Time for X th           of Units (X)         per Unit (y)*: Labor Hours         Labor-Hours         Unit: Labor Hours           D = Col A x Col B         E13 = D13           1         100.00         100.00         100.00           3         70.21         210.63         50.63           2         80.00         =(100x0.8)         160.00         60.00           3         70.21         210.63         50.63         emathematical relationship ularing model is:           5         59.56         297.82         41.82         emathematical relationship ularing model is:           6         56.17         337.01         39.19         where y = Cumulative average till X = Cumulati	Cumulative Average-Time Learning Model for Rayburn Corporation           80% Learning Curve         80% Learning Curve           Cumulative         Cumulative         Cumulative         Individual Unit           Number         Average Time         Total Time:         Time for Xth           of Units (X)         per Unit (y)*: Labor Hours         Labor-Hours         Unit: Labor Hours           D = Col A x Col B         E13 = D13 - D12 = 210.63 - 160.00           2         80.00         =(100x0.8)         160.00         60.00           2         80.00         =(100x0.8)         256.00         45.37           4         64.00         =(80x0.8)         256.00         45.37           5         59.56         297.82         41.82           6         56.17         337.01         39.19           7         53.45         374.14         37.13           8         51.20         =(64x0.8)         409.60         35.46           9         49.29         443.65         34.05         10           11         46.21         508.32         31.81         In (learning-curve % in decimal form)           12         44.93         539.22         30.89         In 2           1		

## SAMPLE INCREMENTAL UNIT-TIME MODEL

1	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	н	I			
L	Increment	ntal Unit-Time	Learning Mode	I for Rayburn Corp	oration							
2		1 1		0.00								
3		[]	80% Learning C	urve	5							
ŧ,												
	Cumulative	Individual L	Jnit Time	Cumulative	Cumulative							
ŝ.	Number	for Xth l	Jnit (y)*:	Total Time:	Average Time	me						
ST.	of Units (X)	Labor	Hours	Labor-Hours	per Unit:							
					Labor-Hours							
L .												
D					E = Col D ÷ Col A	G						
1												
2	1	100.00		100.00	100.00		D14 = D13	13 + B14				
3	2	80.00	=(100x0.8)	180.00	90.00	= 180.00 + 70.21						
1	3	70.21		250.21	83.40	*The mathematical relationship underlying the incremental unit-time learning model is: $y=aX^{6}$ where $y = Time$ (labor-hours) taken to produce the last single unit X = Cumulative number of units produced $a = Time$ (labor-hours) required to produce the first unit						
5	4	64.00	=(80x0.8)	314.21	78.55							
5	5	59.56		373.77	74.75							
7	6	56.17		429.94	71.66							
3	7	53.45		483.39	69.06							
)	8	51.20	=(64x0.8)	534.59	66.82	b = FactorIn (le	or used to calcula saming-curve %	ite incremental in decimal form	unit time to produce un			
)	9	49.29		583.89	64.88	$= \frac{\ln (\text{tearning curve}, b = \ln 0.8 + \ln 2 = -0.2231 + 0.6931 = -0.321)}{\ln 2}$ For an 80% learning curve, b = ln 0.8 + ln 2 = -0.2231 + 0.6931 = -0.3219 Where X = 3, a = 100, b = -0.3219, y = 100 × 3 <sup>0.3219</sup> = 70.21 labor hours The cumulative total time when X = 3 is 100+80+70.21=250.21 labor-hou Numbers in the table may not be exact because of rounding.						
1	10	47.65		631.54	63.15							
2	11	46.21		677.75	61.61							
3	12	44.93		722.68	60.22							
4	13	43.79		766.47	58.96							
5	14	42.76		809.23	57.80							
5	15	41.82	/	851.05	56.74							
7	16	40.96	$=(51.2 \times 0.8)$	892.01	55.75							

© 2012 Pearson Prentice Hall. All rights reserved.

## TIME LEARNING MODEL COMPARATIVE PLOTS



# PREDICTING COSTS USING ALTERNATIVE TIME LEARNING MODELS

<b>1</b>	<u>File E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew	Insert Format	<u>T</u> ools <u>D</u> ata	<u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> e	lp					
	A	В	С	D	E	F				
1		Cumulative								
2	Cumulative	Average Time	Cumulative	Cumul	ative Costs	Additions to				
3	Number of	per Unit:	Total Time:	at	\$50 per	Cumulative				
4	Units	Labor-Hours <sup>a</sup>	Labor-Hours <sup>a</sup>	Lab	or-Hour	Costs				
5	1	100.00	100.00	\$ 5,000	(100.00 x \$50)	\$ 5,000				
6	2	80.00	160.00	8,000	(160.00 x \$50)	3,000				
7	4	64.00	256.00	12,800	(256.00 x \$50)	4,800				
8	8	51.20	409.60	20,480	(409.60 x \$50)	7,680				
9	16	40.96	655.36	32,768	(655.36 x \$50)	12,288				
10										
11	<sup>a</sup> Based on the cumulative average-time learning model. See Exhibit 10-10 for the computations									
12	of these amounts.									

### THE IDEAL DATABASE

- 1. The database should contain numerous reliably measured observations of the cost driver and the costs.
- 2. In relation to the cost driver, the database should consider many values spanning a wide range.

### DATA PROBLEMS

The time period for measuring the dependent variable does not match the period for measuring the cost driver.

Fixed costs are allocated as if they are variable.

Data are either not available for all observations or are not uniformly reliable.

### DATA PROBLEMS

Extreme values of observations occur from errors in recording costs.

There is no homogeneous relationship between the cost driver and the individual cost items in the dependent variable-cost pool. A homogeneous relationship exists when each activity whose costs are included in the dependent variable has the same cost driver.

## DATA PROBLEMS

The relationship between the cost driver and the cost is not stationary. Inflation has affected costs, the driver, or both.

